

1 TUCKER ELLIS LLP
2 David J. Steele - SBN 209797
3 david.steele@tuckerellis.com
4 Howard A. Kroll - SBN 100981
5 howard.kroll@tuckerellis.com
6 Steven E. Lauridsen - SBN 246364
7 steven.lauridsen@tuckerellis.com
8 515 South Flower Street
9 Forty-Second Floor
10 Los Angeles, CA 90071
11 Telephone: 213.430.3400
12 Facsimile: 213.430.3409

13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
14 Facebook, Inc. and Instagram, LLC

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation and
18 INSTAGRAM, LLC, a Delaware limited liability
19 company,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 9 XIU NETWORK (SHENZHEN) TECHNOLOGY
23 CO., LTD. a/k/a JIUXIU NETWORK
24 (SHENZHEN) TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.;
25 9 XIU FEISHU SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
26 COMPANY LTD.;
27 9 XIUFEI BOOK TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.;
28 HOME NETWORK (FUJIAN) TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD.;
WEI GAO a/k/a GAO WEI;
ZHAOCHUN LIU a/k/a LIU ZHAOCHUN; and
ZHAOPING LIU a/k/a LIU ZHAOPING,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:19-cv-1167-JST

**PLAINTIFFS' THIRD MOTION TO
CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE [L.R. 6-1(B)]**

CURRENT DATE: December 17, 2019
PROPOSED DATE: March 17, 2020

TIME: 2:00 p.m.
CTRM: Oakland – 6 – 2nd Floor

Hon. Jon S. Tigar

1 **I. NOTICE OF MOTION AND STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT**

2 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook”) and Instagram, LLC
4 (“Instagram,” and collectively with Facebook, “Plaintiffs”) hereby move this Court for an order
5 continuing the case management conference by approximately three months from December 17, 2019,
6 to March 17, 2020. The basis for this motion is that each of the Defendants resides in the People’s
7 Republic of China, and while Plaintiffs have initiated the process to serve Defendants through the
8 Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil and Commercial
9 Matters, Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 361, 658 U.N.T.S. 163 (the “Hague Service Convention”), Plaintiffs
10 do not expect service to be completed until two years have passed, possibly longer. Because none of the
11 Defendants has been served with process or appeared in the action, Plaintiffs have not been able to, and
12 will not be able to, meet and confer with Defendants as required in advance of the case management
13 conference. As a result, any ADR submissions or Rule 26(f) report would be submitted unilaterally by
14 Plaintiffs. Moreover, because Plaintiffs understand that Defendants have actual notice of this action,
15 Plaintiffs have informed Defendants that they intend to move for default judgment under Article 15 of
16 the Hague Service Convention, which allows for such motions after six months have passed since service
17 was initiated.

18 In view of the parties’ inability to meet and confer and in view of Plaintiffs’ forthcoming motion
19 for default judgment, Plaintiffs seek relief in the form of an order continuing the case management
20 conference by approximately three months from December 17, 2019, to March 17, 2020.

21 Plaintiffs have been unable to meet and confer with Defendants in an effort to obtain a stipulation
22 for the relief sought in this motion because none of them has yet appeared in this action. Entering into a
23 stipulation would require one or more of the Defendants to make a special appearance solely for that
24 purpose before that Defendant has even been served with process. Still, Plaintiffs’ attorneys have
25 contacted Defendants by email requesting that they stipulate to an extension. This is the third request for
26 the extension of any deadline in this action, with the Court having twice before continued the case
27 management conference for similar reasons to those laid out in this motion. Dkt. 21-22, 24-25. Plaintiffs
28 believe that the extension will have a minimal impact on the schedule for this case given that no

1 scheduling order has yet been entered. Declaration of David J. Steele (“Steele Decl.”) ¶¶ 3-6, 8-9 &
 2 Exs. 1, 4-6.

3 **II. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS.**

4 Defendants 9 Xiu Network (Shenzhen) Science and Technology Co., Ltd. a/k/a Jiuxiu Network
 5 (Shenzhen) Science and Technology Co., Ltd., 9 Xiu Feishu Science and Technology Company Ltd., 9
 6 Xiufei Book Technology Co., Ltd., Home Network (Fujian) Technology Co., Ltd., Wei Gao a/k/a Gao
 7 Wei, Zhaochun Liu a/k/a Liu Zhaochun, and Zhaoping Liu a/k/a Liu Zhaoping (collectively,
 8 “Defendants”), operate a series of websites promoting the sale of fake accounts (e.g., using fake names or
 9 other false identifiers) and inauthentic accounts (e.g., accounts used for inauthentic activity). These
 10 activities are a violation of both the Terms of Use and Terms of Service for Facebook and Instagram.
 11 Moreover, Defendants have been engaging in both trademark and service mark infringement as well as
 12 cybersquatting. Plaintiffs filed this action to stop Defendants’ illegal activities.

13 Shortly after this action was filed, the lawsuit received a great deal of attention from the Chinese
 14 media. Steele Decl. ¶ 5 & Ex. 2. Presumably in response to this reporting, Defendants took down each of
 15 the infringing websites and domain names, indicating that Defendants were aware of this action, its
 16 allegations, and the specific websites and domain names at issue. *Id.* ¶ 6.

17 Upon filing this action, Plaintiffs sought to have all of the case initiating documents translated into
 18 Chinese so that they could be served via the Hague Service Convention. *Id.* ¶ 2. Unfortunately, service
 19 via the Hague Service Convention is expected to take up to two years, if not longer. Declaration of Celeste
 20 Ingalls (“Ingalls Decl.”) ¶ 11. In an effort to expedite this process, Plaintiffs’ attorneys sent Defendants
 21 courtesy copies of the pertinent filings in this action both by hand delivery and by email. Steele Decl. ¶ 3
 22 & Ex. 1. In conjunction with sending these courtesy copies, Plaintiffs’ attorneys also requested in writing
 23 that Defendants either conduct the mandatory ADR and Rule 26(f) conferences as required by this Court’s
 24 order setting a case management conference and related deadlines, or that in the alternative, Defendants
 25 stipulate to continue the initial case management conference. *Id.* ¶ 4 & Ex. 1.

26 In response, an individual purporting to represent Defendant 9 Xiu Network Technology
 27 (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. contacted Plaintiffs’ counsel on May 29, 2019 requesting the opportunity to discuss
 28 the merits of this action. *Id.* ¶ 7 & Ex. 3. In response, Plaintiffs prepared and sent an August 21, 2019

1 email in Chinese requesting that Defendants (a) execute service or process waiver forms, (b) meet and
2 confer concerning ADR selection and the topics listed in Rule 26(f) or in the alternative stipulate to
3 continue the Case Management Conference, and (c) arrange a time to discuss the merits of the case. *Id.*
4 ¶ 8 & Exs. 4-5. Plaintiffs received a response on August 25, 2019, but that response did not address any
5 of the topics listed above. *Id.* ¶ 10. Further communications have been similarly unsuccessful. *Id.*

6 Due to the requirement that the parties collaboratively participate in the ADR selection process
7 and the Rule 26(f) conference before the December 17, 2019 case management conference, and due to the
8 impossibility of doing so under the current circumstances, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court
9 continue to conference by approximately three months until March 17, 2020. At that time, Plaintiffs are
10 hopeful that Defendants will have engaged in the meet and confer process and, if not, Plaintiffs expect to
11 have their motion for default judgment on file at that time.

12 **III. CONTINUING THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE IS WARRANTED.**

13 **A. Plaintiffs cannot effect service of process before the current case management 14 conference deadline despite their diligence.**

15 Each of the Defendants resides in China. Absent a Court order, defendants in a foreign country
16 should generally be served with process “by any internationally agreed means of service that is reasonably
17 calculated to give notice, such as those authorized by the Hague Service Convention”
18 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f)(1). China is a signatory to the Hague Service Convention; however, China has
19 formally objected to service within its territory by means other than through China’s designated central
20 authority (“Central Authority for China”). Ingalls Decl. ¶¶ 5, 7-8. It will take up to twenty-four months
21 for service through the Central Authority for China and it will take another one to five months for the
22 proofs of service to be returned. Ingalls Decl. ¶¶ 11-13. Therefore, it has become apparent that service
23 will not be effected prior to the current deadlines.

24 Notwithstanding the hurdles presented by serving Defendants through the Hague Service
25 Convention, Plaintiffs understand that Defendants have actual notice of this action, and Plaintiffs have
26 also been diligent about providing notice to Defendants through alternate, unofficial means. Shortly after
27 this action was filed, it was reported by numerous Chinese media outlets. *Id.* ¶ 5 & Ex. 2. Apparently in
28 response this media attention, Defendants took down each of the websites accessible at the accused domain

1 names, thus indicating that they are aware Defendants having been given of this lawsuit and its subject
 2 matter. *Id.* ¶ 6.

3 In addition to notice of this action via the Chinese media, Plaintiffs have had copies of all
 4 documents in this action hand delivered to the registered address for Defendant 9 Xiu Network
 5 Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.¹ together with a cover letter explaining that the delivery does not
 6 constitute official service, requesting that each of the Defendants execute a waiver of service of the
 7 summons and complaint pursuant to F. R. Civ. P. 4(d), and requesting that the Defendants meet and confer
 8 in advance of the case management conference. Steele Decl. ¶¶ 3-4. Plaintiffs have also sent these same
 9 documents and requests to Defendants by email. *Id.*

10 On May 29, 2019, Plaintiffs' counsel received an email from an individual purporting to be a
 11 representative of Defendant 9 Xiu (Shenzhen) Network Technology Co., Ltd. *Id.* ¶ 7 & Ex. 3. Plaintiffs'
 12 counsel and this individual have exchanged additional correspondence in Chinese, with Plaintiffs' counsel
 13 requesting that Defendant meet and confer or stipulate to continue the case management conference. *Id.*
 14 ¶ 8 & Exs. 4-5. Counsel also requested that Defendant 9 Xiu Network (Shenzhen) Technology Co., Ltd
 15 waive service of process. *Id.* Plaintiffs received a response, but that response did not address the subject
 16 of this motion. *Id.* ¶ 10 & Exs. 7-8.

17 Plaintiffs' counsel also requested by email that the remaining Defendants stipulate to continue the
 18 case management conference. *Id.* ¶ 9 & Ex. 6. Plaintiffs' counsel has received no response to date. *Id.*
 19 ¶ 11.

20 **B. The parties and the Court will be best served by complete participation by all parties
 21 in the case management conference.**

22 As the Court is well aware, the parties are required to discuss and attempt to stipulate to an ADR
 23 option in advance of the case management conference. The parties are also required to conduct their Rule
 24 26(f) conference, during which time they would prepare a discovery plan, discuss means of evidence
 25

26 ¹ Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each individual defendant (*i.e.*, non-corporate persons) owns,
 27 formerly owned, or works at Defendant 9 Xiu Network Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. Accordingly,
 28 hand delivery of case related documents to this company's office is reasonably calculated to reach each
 of the defendants in this action.

1 preservation, determine any anticipated motions, seek to narrow the issues presented in the action, discuss
 2 settlement, and develop a proposed schedule for the Court's review. Because Defendants have not
 3 accepted service to date, and therefore presumably will not substantively engage until service through the
 4 Hague Service Convention is complete, Plaintiffs cannot discuss any of these matters with Defendants. In
 5 many ways, this defeats the purpose of the conference as it does not allow the parties to limit any potential
 6 disagreements and then bring them to the Court's attention. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) (listing areas for the
 7 parties to attempt to reach an agreement); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 (explaining that rules should be construed and
 8 administered to secure just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of action).

9 Moreover, because Plaintiffs intend to move for default judgment against Defendants pursuant to
 10 Article 15 of the Hague Service Convention, judicial economy will be best served by postponing the case
 11 management conference until after that motion has been heard.

12 **IV. CONCLUSION**

13 Despite their best efforts, Plaintiffs have been unable to secure Defendants' participation in the
 14 required conferences that precede the case management conference, and Plaintiffs therefore respectfully
 15 request that the Court continue to conference to March 17, 2020.

17 DATED: November 26, 2019

Tucker Ellis LLP

19 By: /s/David J. Steele
 20 David J. Steele
 21 Howard A. Kroll
 22 Steven E. Lauridsen

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
 Facebook, Inc. and
 Instagram, LLC

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**2 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

3 I declare that I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Los Angeles, California or
 4 employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to
 the within action. My business address is Tucker Ellis LLP, 515 South Flower Street, Forty-Second
 5 Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071-2223.

6 On November 26, 2019, I served the following: **PLAINTIFFS' THIRD MOTION TO**
CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE [L.R. 6-1(B)] on the interested parties in this
 7 action as follows:

8 **9 Xiu Network (Shenzhen) Tech. Co., Ltd.**
 9 **a/k/a Jiuxiu Network (Shenzhen) Tech. Co.,**
 10 **Ltd.**

11 **9 Xiu Feishu Science and Tech. Co., Ltd.**

12 **9 Xiufei Book Technology Co., Ltd.**

13 **Home Network (Fujian) Tech. Co., Ltd.**

14 **Wei Gao a/k/a Gao Wei**

15 **No. 112, Shanghai V Gu, 1 Hao Chengshi**

16 **Yongxian Road, Maantang Community,**
Bantian Street, Longgand District, Shenzhen

17 **Email: 262740299@qq.com**

18 **493661190@qq.com**

19 **793661190@qq.com**

20 **geeai@qq.com**

21 **zubin878@foxmail.com**

22 **DEFENDANTS**

23 **(X) BY EMAIL:** the above-entitled document to be served electronically by email.

24 **(X) BY MAIL:** By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope(s) addressed as above,
 25 and placing each for collection and mailing on the below indicated day following the ordinary
 26 business practices at Tucker Ellis LLP. I certify I am familiar with the ordinary business
 27 practices of my place of employment with regard to collection for mailing with the United States
 28 Postal Service. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if
 postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit or
 mailing affidavit.

(X) FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at
 whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the
 United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 26, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.



Deborah Deluna